CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT AT DANVILLE, VA FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

JUL 2 4 2015 Julia c. dudley, clerk

WILBY JAMES BRANHAM, Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. 7:15-cv-0035 PEPUTY CLERK
v.)	MEMORANDUM OPINION
MAJOR PARKER, et al., Defendants.))	By: Hon. Jackson L. Kiser Senior United States District Judge

Wilby James Branham, a Virginia inmate proceeding <u>pro se</u>, filed a complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Although not clear, it appears Plaintiff names as a defendant either the Blue Ridge Jail ("Jail") or the Blue Ridge Jail Administration ("Administration"). However, neither the Jail nor the Administration is a proper defendant to this action. <u>See, e.g., Preval v. Reno</u>, 57 F. Supp. 2d 307, 310 (E.D. Va. 1999) ("[T]he Piedmont Regional Jail is not a "person," and therefore not amenable to suit under 42 U.S.C. § 1983."), <u>aff'd in part and rev'd in part</u>, 203 F.3d 821 (4th Cir. 2000), reported in full-text format at 2000 U.S. App. LEXIS 465, at *3, 2000 WL 20591, at *1 ("The court also properly determined that the Piedmont Regional Jail is not a "person' and is therefore not amenable to suit under § 1983[.]"); <u>see also Ferguson v. Morgan</u>, No. 1:90cv06318, 1991 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8295, 1991 WL 115759, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. June 20, 1991) (concluding that a group of personnel, like "medical staff," is not a "person" for purposes of § 1983). Accordingly, I dismiss any claims against the Jail and Administration without prejudice. Plaintiff's claims against the two remaining defendants remain pending with the court.

ENTER: This Affiday of July, 2015.

Serior United States District Judge